

FM 431: Econometrics of Financial Markets

Harald Schmidbauer

 İSTANBUL BİLGİ ÜNİVERSİTESİ

© Harald Schmidbauer & Angi Rösch, 2008



About These Slides

- The present slides are not self-contained; they need to be explained and discussed.
- The slides show only a part of what you will learn in this course.
- Even though being a “work in progress” and subject to revision, the slides constitute copyrighted material.

If you want to reproduce or copy anything from the slides, please ask:

Harald Schmidbauer **harald** at **hs-stat** dot **com**
Angi Rösch **angi.r** at **t-online** dot **de**

- The slides were produced using \LaTeX and R (the R project; www.R-project.org) on a Linux system.
- Course material is available at www.hs-stat.com/courses/FM431.



Chapter 4:

GARCH Processes



4.1 Introduction


GARCH models: scope and outlook.

- There is usually a certain form of heteroskedasticity in a series of returns.
- High volatility today can lead to high volatility tomorrow.
- Variances today and tomorrow are somehow related.
- For a time series (X_t) which is centered at 0, it holds that $\text{var}(X_t) = E(X_t^2)$.
- This form of heteroskedasticity implies that there will be autocorrelation in squared returns.




4.1 Introduction

Example: İMKB 100 — the level series.




4.1 Introduction

Example: IMKB 100 — the return series.




4.1 Introduction

Example: IMKB 100 — acf of the return series.



4.1 Introduction

Example: IMKB 100 — acf of the squared return series.



4.1 Introduction

GARCH models: scope and outlook.

- GARCH models are a class of stochastic processes which allow for this kind of heteroskedasticity.
- As before, the philosophy is:
Find a stochastic model which may have created the observed series.



4.2 Definition

GARCH: Definition.

A GARCH(p, q) process (ϵ_t) is defined as

$$\epsilon_t = \nu_t \cdot \sqrt{h_t}, \quad (\nu_t): \text{white noise with } \sigma_\nu^2 = \text{var}(\nu_t) = 1,$$

and

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i \epsilon_{t-i}^2}_{\text{ARCH term}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i h_{t-i}}_{\text{GARCH term}}$$

with parameters $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p \geq 0$

and $\sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i < 1$.



4.2 Definition

GARCH: Some first comments.

- The GARCH is a model for heteroskedastic time series.
- GARCH stands for *generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity*.
- The GARCH model was first developed by Engle (1982) and Bollerslev (1986).
- A GARCH process is stationary. (Heteroskedasticity need not be a reason for non-stationarity.)



4.2 Definition

GARCH: Some first comments. — GARCH(1, 1):

$$\epsilon_t = \nu_t \cdot \sqrt{h_t}, \quad (\nu_t): \text{white noise with } \sigma_\nu^2 = \text{var}(\nu_t) = 1,$$

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \underbrace{\alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}_{\text{ARCH term}} + \underbrace{\beta_1 h_{t-1}}_{\text{GARCH term}}$$

with parameters $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_1 \geq 0$ and $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 < 1$.

- Heteroskedasticity comes from the h_t term.
- The term h_t represents the one-period ahead forecast of the variance.
- The term ν_t represents “news”, “shocks”, “residuals”.



4.3 Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Conditional and unconditional moments of ARCH(1).

- Let's now consider an ARCH(1) process:

$$\epsilon_t = \nu_t \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}$$

with $\text{var}(\nu_t) = 1$, $\alpha_0 > 0$, $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$.

- Our program:
 - look at the unconditional moments,
 - look at the conditional moments.



4.3 Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Unconditional moments of ARCH(1).

- The model is:

$$\epsilon_t = \nu_t \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}$$

- Unconditional expectation:

$$E(\epsilon_t) = E(\nu_t) \cdot E\left(\sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}\right) = 0$$



4.3 Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Unconditional moments of ARCH(1).

- The model is:

$$\epsilon_t = \nu_t \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}$$

- Unconditional variance:

$$\text{var}(\epsilon_t) = E(\epsilon_t^2) = E(\nu_t^2) \cdot E(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2) = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1}$$

- Unconditional correlation:

$$E(\epsilon_t \cdot \epsilon_{t+s}) = 0, \quad \text{so that} \quad \text{cor}(\epsilon_t, \epsilon_{t+s}) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq 1.$$



4.3 Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Conditional moments of ARCH(1).

- The model is:

$$\epsilon_t = \nu_t \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}$$

- Conditional expectation:

$$E(\epsilon_t | \epsilon_{t-1}, \dots) = E(\nu_t) \cdot E\left(\sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}\right) = 0$$



4.3 Conditional Heteroskedasticity

Conditional moments of ARCH(1).

- The model is:

$$\epsilon_t = \nu_t \cdot \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2}$$

- Conditional variance:

$$\text{var}(\epsilon_t | \epsilon_{t-1}, \dots) = E(\epsilon_t^2 | \epsilon_{t-1}) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 = h_t$$

- This depends on ϵ_{t-1} ! The ARCH is therefore a conditional variance model.
- There will be positive autocorrelation in the process (ϵ_t^2) .



4.4 Simulation of a GARCH Process

The R code for simulating a GARCH(1,1) process.

```
a0 = 0.5;  a1 = 0.3;  b1 = 0.65

nu = rnorm(550)
epsi = rep(0, 550)
h = rep(0, 550)


for (i in 2:550) {
  h[i] = a0 + a1 * epsi[i-1]^2 + b1 * h[i-1]
  epsi[i] = nu[i] * sqrt(h[i])
}

epsi = epsi[51:550]
```




4.4 Simulation of a GARCH Process

A simulated series.




4.4 Simulation of a GARCH Process

Acf of the series and of the series of squares.



4.4 Simulation of a GARCH Process

Histogram of the distribution.



| | |
|-----------|--------|
| skewness: | -0.250 |
| s.e.: | 0.34 |
| kurtosis: | 3.428 |
| s.e.: | 0.68 |



4.5 Combining ARMA & GARCH

The purpose of combining ARMA and GARCH.

We have seen:

- ARMA models are conditional expectation models.
- Autocorrelation in the return series indicates that ARMA may be appropriate.
- GARCH models are conditional variance models.
- Autocorrelation in the *squared* return series indicates that GARCH may be appropriate.



4.5 Combining ARMA & GARCH

The purpose of combining ARMA and GARCH.

The conclusion is:

- If there is autocorrelation in the series as well as in the squared series, a combination of ARMA and GARCH may be appropriate.



4.5 Combining ARMA & GARCH

A mixed ARMA and GARCH model.

This is a process (X_t) with

$$X_t = \mu_t + \epsilon_t,$$

where:


(μ_t) is an ARMA process,

(ϵ_t) is a GARCH process.




4.5 Combining ARMA & GARCH

Simulation of a mixed ARMA and GARCH model.




4.5 Combining ARMA & GARCH

Acfs of the simulated series and its squares.




4.6 Examples

DJIA: the level series.





4.6 Examples

DJIA: the return series.



4.6 Examples

DJIA: acf of return series, squared return series.



4.6 Examples

DJIA: fitting a GARCH model. (Mean return: 0.022)

Coefficient(s):

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) | |
|----|----------|------------|---------|----------|-----|
| a0 | 0.005481 | 0.002682 | 2.044 | 0.041 | * |
| a1 | 0.056106 | 0.009821 | 5.713 | 1.11e-08 | *** |
| b1 | 0.936337 | 0.011614 | 80.619 | < 2e-16 | *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Diagnostic Tests:

Jarque Bera Test

data: Residuals

X-squared = 4.2215, df = 2, p-value = 0.1211

Box-Ljung test


data: Squared.Residuals

X-squared = 3.6251, df = 1, p-value = 0.05692




4.6 Examples

DJIA: conditional standard deviation series.




4.6 Examples

Siemens: the level series.





4.6 Examples

Siemens: the return series.



4.6 Examples

Siemens: acf of return series, squared return series.



4.6 Examples

Siemens: fitting a GARCH model. (Mean return: 0.071)

Coefficient(s):

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) | |
|----|----------|------------|---------|----------|-----|
| a0 | 0.030456 | 0.009857 | 3.090 | 0.00200 | ** |
| a1 | 0.056059 | 0.009051 | 6.193 | 5.89e-10 | *** |
| b1 | 0.930380 | 0.011470 | 81.117 | < 2e-16 | *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Diagnostic Tests:

Jarque Bera Test

data: Residuals

X-squared = 214.8504, df = 2, p-value < 2.2e-16

Box-Ljung test


data: Squared.Residuals

X-squared = 0.0023, df = 1, p-value = 0.9617




4.6 Examples

Siemens: conditional standard deviation series.




4.6 Examples

Brent crude oil: the level series.





4.6 Examples

Brent crude oil: the return series.



4.6 Examples

Brent crude oil: acf of return series, squared return series.



4.6 Examples

Brent crude oil: fitting a GARCH model. (Mean return: 0.117)

Coefficient(s):

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) | |
|----|----------|------------|---------|----------|-----|
| a0 | 0.32744 | 0.15108 | 2.167 | 0.03021 | * |
| a1 | 0.03889 | 0.01309 | 2.971 | 0.00297 | ** |
| b1 | 0.89014 | 0.04220 | 21.092 | < 2e-16 | *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Diagnostic Tests:

Jarque Bera Test

data: Residuals

X-squared = 62.6624, df = 2, p-value = 2.476e-14

Box-Ljung test


data: Squared.Residuals

X-squared = 2.3493, df = 1, p-value = 0.1253



4.6 Examples

Brent crude oil: conditional standard deviation series.



4.7 Limitations

This GARCH: symmetric & univariate.

Limitations of the GARCH processes we have seen are:

- “News impact” on the variance is symmetric:

$$\epsilon \mapsto \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon^2 + \beta_1 \sigma^2$$

- This process is univariate.
This limits its scope to investigate volatility spillovers.



4.7 Limitations

Example: Asymmetry in the DJIA return series.

- Is there empirical evidence for asymmetry in the series of returns?
- We can now do the following:
 - Make a scatterplot of r_t and r_{t+1}^2 .
(Our plot uses daily data , Apr 1981 through Sep 2008.)
 - Plot a local regression line. (R: `lowess`)
 - Look for asymmetry!
Simulated GARCH data would produce a symmetric line.



4.7 Limitations

Example: Asymmetry in the DJIA return series.

